

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 086428

60

ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 IO-12 ADP-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00

INR-10 NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 USIA-12 PRS-01 INRE-00

PM-09 H-02 NSC-10 SS-15 ACDA-19 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11

EUR-25 NEA-10 OMB-01 GAC-01 COA-02 EB-11 INT-08

COME-00 (ADP) R

DRAFTED BY L/ UNA: JWILLIS: BKM

22559 5/7/73

APPROVED BY IO/ UNP: LTSTULL

IO/ UNP: MR. HEWITT

L - MR. MOORE

----- 129994

O R 072222 Z MAY 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION GENEVA IMMEDIATE

INFO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 086428

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, UN

SUBJECT: DEFINITION OF AGGRESSION COMMITTEE: PROPOSED
GENERAL DEFINITION

REF: GENEVA 2080

1. DEPT. PREFERS NOT TO INCLUDE "(A) SOVEREIGNTY" IN
DEFINITION OF STATE FOR PURPOSES OF AGGRESSION. CONCEPT
OF " SOVEREIGNTY" IS MORE ABSTRACT THAN TERRITORIAL
INTEGRITY OR POLITICAL INDEPENDENCE; IS SUBJECT TO A WIDE
RANGE OF INTERPRETATION AND APPLICATION. HOWEVER, DEL
MAY ACCEPT INCLUSION OF " SOVEREIGNTY" IF IN DEL' S
JUDGMENT IT BECOMES TACTICALLY NECESSARY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 086428

2. DEPT. OF VIEW THAT WORD " REFERS" IS AWKWARD AND MEANING WOULD BE BETTER SERVED WITH SUBSTITUTION OF WORD " ENCOMPASSES." THUS BEGINNING OF SENTENCE WOULD READ " IN THIS DEFINITION, THE TERM ' STATE' ENCOMPASSES"

3. INCLUSION OF SUBPARAGRAPH D " ALL TERRITORY OF THE STATE, INCLUDING ITS TERRITORIAL WATERS AND AIRSPACE AS RECOGNIZED BY INTERNATIONAL LAW" IS ACCEPTABLE.

4. SUBPARAGRAPHS A THROUGH F MUST BE REGARDED ALTERNATIVELY AND NOT REPEAT NOT CONJUNCTIVELY. ONLY IF EACH SUBPARAGRAPH IS CONSIDERED DISJUNCTIVELY, COULD THE OF COUNCIL AND WEIGHTED VOTING;

E. PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES;

F. DEPOSITARY.

4. ALTHOUGH IN THEORY POE WAS DISCUSSING PRINCIPLES, DISCUSSION FREQUENTLY BOGGED DOWN OVER DRAFTING MINUTIAE WHICH HELPFUL FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW SINCE IT GAVE PRACTICAL DEMONSTRATION OF DIFFICULTIES TO BE EXPECTED IF AND WHEN GOVERNMENTS NEGOTIATE FOR REAL. US DELEGATE DISCOURAGINGLY POINTED OUT AT CLOSE OF LONG ORGANIZATIONAL DISCUSSIONS THAT SURFACE HAD ONLY BEEN SCRATCHED AND MATTERS NOT IN SOVIET DRAFT WHICH WOULD HAVE TO BE ADDRESSED INCLUDED: TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS, RESERVATIONS, AMENDMENTS, CAPITAL CEILING, AUDIT PRINCIPLES, VOTING IN COUNCIL, SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES AND A DOZEN OR SO OTHER ITEMS. NORMALLY COOL AND COLLECTED BRITISH REP AGREED THAT " THE WHOLE DAMNED LOT" WOULD HAVE TO BE DEALT WITH EVENTUALLY.

5. BY MEETING'S CLOSE IT WAS CLEAR THAT FEW IF ANY MEMBERS FAVORED OWNING OR EVEN OPERATING A MARSAT SYSTEM (US DEL' STATEMENT ON FLOOR THAT NO ONE ADVOCATED LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 LONDON 05291 071853 Z

OWNERSHIP WENT UNCHALLENGED). ALSO, AS MAGNITUDE OF ORGANIZATION AND PROBABLE DIFFICULTIES IN ITS NEGOTIATION EMERGED, ITS DESIRABILITY BEGAN TO BE QUESTIONED IN CORRIDORS. WHILE A HARD CORE WAS INTENT ON MANEUVERING TO LEAVE CHOICE OF ONLY SINGLE ORGANIZATION (SOVIET DRAFT), A GROUP (ITALY, CANADA, SPAIN, JAPAN, AUSTRALIA) SUPPORTING US EFFORTS TO FORCE EXAMINATION OF OTHER ALTERNATIVES BECAME MORE ASSERTIVE ON LAST DAY. AS RESULT, SEPTEMBER POE MEETING WILL CONTINUE TO LOOK AT OTHER POSSIBLE INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS. MORE PAR-

TICULARLY, US WAS ABLE STIMULATE CONSIDERABLE INTEREST
IN POSSIBILITY PLACING " ORGANIZATION" WITHIN IMCO IN
SOME MANNER. IMCO SECRETARY- GENERAL SUMMONED TO HEAR
ARGUMENTS AND HE, WHILE ADMITTING THERE MAY BE CONSTITU-
TIONAL PROBLEMS (E. G., POSSIBLE AMENDMENT OF IMCO
CONVENTION), HELPED US CAUSE BY ENTHUSIASTICALLY FAVORING
MAXIMUM ROLE FOR IMCO.

6. US DEL SUCCEEDED IN GETTING PANEL TO CALL FOR FURTHER
QUESTIONS ON INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS TO STIMULATE
DISCUSSION ON OTHER ALTERNATIVES AT SEPTEMBER MEETING.

7. OTHER DELEGATIONS TOLD US REP THAT CONSIDERATION OF
OPTIONS WAS NOW CLEARLY OPEN AND BURDEN WAS SQUARELY ON
THOSE PROPOSING OPTIONS (PRIMARILY US) TO FLESH THEM OUT
IN DETAIL FOR CONSIDERATION AS USSR HAD FLESHED ITS
PROPOSAL. DELS ON FLOOR MADE SPECIAL POINT TO THANK
USSR FOR ITS SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO WORK OF THE POE
WITH ITS ORGANIZATIONAL PRINCIPLES.

ANNENBERG

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NMAFVVZCZ

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

*** Current Classification *** CONFIDENTIAL

@@@OASYS@@@<CONCATENATED>

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 086428

60

ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 IO-12 ADP-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00

INR-10 NSAE-00 PA-03 RSC-01 USIA-12 PRS-01 INRE-00

PM-09 H-02 NSC-10 SS-15 ACDA-19 AF-10 ARA-11 EA-11

EUR-25 NEA-10 OMB-01 GAC-01 COA-02 EB-11 INT-08

COME-00 (ADP) R

DRAFTED BY L/ UNA: JWILLIS; BKM

22559 5/7/73

APPROVED BY IO/ UNP: LTSTULL

IO/ UNP: MR. HEWITT

L - MR. MOORE

----- 129994

O R 072222 Z MAY 73
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION GENEVA IMMEDIATE
INFO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 086428

E. O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PFOR, UN
SUBJECT: DEFINITION OF AGGRESSION COMMITTEE: PROPOSED
GENERAL DEFINITION

REF: GENEVA 2080

1. DEPT. PREFERS NOT TO INCLUDE "(A) SOVEREIGNTY" IN
DEFINITION OF STATE FOR PURPOSES OF AGGRESSION. CONCEPT
OF " SOVEREIGNTY" IS MORE ABSTRACT THAN TERRITORIAL
INTEGRITY OR POLITICAL INDEPENDENCE; IS SUBJECT TO A WIDE
RANGE OF INTERPRETATION AND APPLICATION. HOWEVER, DEL
MAY ACCEPT INCLUSION OF " SOVEREIGNTY" IF IN DEL' S
JUDGMENT IT BECOMES TACTICALLY NECESSARY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 086428

2. DEPT. OF VIEW THAT WORD " REFERS" IS AWKWARD AND
MEANING WOULD BE BETTER SERVED WITH SUBSTITUTION OF
WORD " ENCOMPASSES." THUS BEGINNING OF SENTENCE WOULD
READ " IN THIS DEFINITION, THE TERM ' STATE' ENCOMPASSES
...."

3. INCLUSION OF SUBPARAGRAPH D " ALL TERRITORY OF THE
STATE, INCLUDING ITS TERRITORIAL WATERS AND AIRSPACE
AS RECOGNIZED BY INTERNATIONAL LAW" IS ACCEPTABLE.

4. SUBPARAGRAPHS A THROUGH F MUST BE REGARDED ALTERNA-
TIVELY AND NOT REPEAT NOT CONJUNCTIVELY. ONLY IF EACH
SUBPARAGRAPH IS CONSIDERED DISJUNCTIVELY, COULD THE OF COUNCIL AND
WEIGHTED VOTING;

E. PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES;

F. DEPOSITARY.

4. ALTHOUGH IN THEORY POE WAS DISCUSSING PRINCIPLES,
DISCUSSION FREQUENTLY BOGGED DOWN OVER DRAFTING MINUTIAE
WHICH HELPFUL FROM OUR POINT OF VIEW SINCE IT GAVE
PRACTICAL DEMONSTRATION OF DIFFICULTIES TO BE EXPECTED

IF AND WHEN GOVERNMENTS NEGOTIATE FOR REAL. US DELEGATE DISCOURAGINGLY POINTED OUT AT CLOSE OF LONG ORGANIZATIONAL DISCUSSIONS THAT SURFACE HAD ONLY BEEN SCRATCHED AND MATTERS NOT IN SOVIET DRAFT WHICH WOULD HAVE TO BE ADDRESSED INCLUDED: TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS, RESERVATIONS, AMENDMENTS, CAPITAL CEILING, AUDIT PRINCIPLES, VOTING IN COUNCIL, SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES AND A DOZEN OR SO OTHER ITEMS. NORMALLY COOL AND COLLECTED BRITISH REP AGREED THAT "THE WHOLE DAMNED LOT" WOULD HAVE TO BE DEALT WITH EVENTUALLY.

5. BY MEETING'S CLOSE IT WAS CLEAR THAT FEW IF ANY MEMBERS FAVORED OWNING OR EVEN OPERATING A MARSAT SYSTEM (US DEL' STATEMENT ON FLOOR THAT NO ONE ADVOCATED LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 LONDON 05291 071853 Z

OWNERSHIP WENT UNCHALLENGED). ALSO, AS MAGNITUDE OF ORGANIZATION AND PROBABLE DIFFICULTIES IN ITS NEGOTIATION EMERGED, ITS DESIRABILITY BEGAN TO BE QUESTIONED IN CORRIDORS. WHILE A HARD CORE WAS INTENT ON MANEUVERING TO LEAVE CHOICE OF ONLY SINGLE ORGANIZATION (SOVIET DRAFT), A GROUP (ITALY, CANADA, SPAIN, JAPAN, AUSTRALIA) SUPPORTING US EFFORTS TO FORCE EXAMINATION OF OTHER ALTERNATIVES BECAME MORE ASSERTIVE ON LAST DAY. AS RESULT, SEPTEMBER POE MEETING WILL CONTINUE TO LOOK AT OTHER POSSIBLE INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS. MORE PARTICULARLY, US WAS ABLE STIMULATE CONSIDERABLE INTEREST IN POSSIBILITY PLACING "ORGANIZATION" WITHIN IMCO IN SOME MANNER. IMCO SECRETARY-GENERAL SUMMONED TO HEAR ARGUMENTS AND HE, WHILE ADMITTING THERE MAY BE CONSTITUTIONAL PROBLEMS (E. G., POSSIBLE AMENDMENT OF IMCO CONVENTION), HELPED US CAUSE BY ENTHUSIASTICALLY FAVORING MAXIMUM ROLE FOR IMCO.

6. US DEL SUCCEEDED IN GETTING PANEL TO CALL FOR FURTHER QUESTIONS ON INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS TO STIMULATE DISCUSSION ON OTHER ALTERNATIVES AT SEPTEMBER MEETING.

7. OTHER DELEGATIONS TOLD US REP THAT CONSIDERATION OF OPTIONS WAS NOW CLEARLY OPEN AND BURDEN WAS SQUARELY ON THOSE PROPOSING OPTIONS (PRIMARILY US) TO FLESH THEM OUT IN DETAIL FOR CONSIDERATION AS USSR HAD FLESHED ITS PROPOSAL. DELS ON FLOOR MADE SPECIAL POINT TO THANK USSR FOR ITS SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION TO WORK OF THE POE WITH ITS ORGANIZATIONAL PRINCIPLES.

ANNENBERG

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
NMAFVVZCZ

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

*** Current Classification *** CONFIDENTIAL

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: PFOR
Control Number: n/a
Copy: <CONCATENATED>
Draft Date: 07 MAY 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: thigpegh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE086428
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JWILLIS: BKM
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: SECSTATE WASHDC
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730538/aaaaignb.tel
Line Count: 275
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN L
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 73 GENEVA 2080
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: thigpegh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY
Review Date: 28 SEP 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <28-Sep-2001 by rowellE0>; RELEASED <28-Sep-2001 by rowellE0>; APPROVED <16-Nov-2001 by thigpegh>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> mcm 971224
Subject: DEFINITION OF AGGRESSION COMMITTEE: PROPOSED GENERAL DEFINITION
TAGS: PFOR, UN
To: GENEVA
USUN NEW YORK
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005